



สภาอุตสาหกรรมฯ
เลขที่รับ 05710
15 ส.ค. 2567
เวลา 9.50 น.

ที่ พณ ๐๓๐๙.๐๙/ว ๓๖๖

ถึง สภาอุตสาหกรรมแห่งประเทศไทย

กรมการค้าต่างประเทศขอแจ้งกรณีกระทรวงพาณิชย์สหรัฐฯ (Department of Commerce: DOC) ได้ออกประกาศ Federal Register Vol. 89 No. 154 ลงวันที่ ๙ สิงหาคม ๒๕๖๗ เรื่อง ผลการไต่สวนเบื้องต้น การใช้มาตรการตอบโต้การทุ่มตลาด (Preliminary Affirmative Determination) กับยางรถยนต์โดยสารและรถบรรทุกขนาดเล็ก (Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires) จากประเทศไทย โดยพบว่า (๑) ผู้ผลิต/ผู้ส่งออก จากไทยที่ได้รับการพิจารณาแบบสอบถาม จำนวน ๒ ราย มีอัตราส่วนเหลือมการทุ่มตลาดอยู่ที่ร้อยละ ๓.๘๒ และ ๔.๙๕ (๒) ผู้ผลิต/ผู้ส่งออกจากไทยที่ไม่ได้รับการพิจารณาแบบสอบถาม จำนวน ๕ ราย มีอัตราส่วนเหลือมการทุ่มตลาดอยู่ที่ร้อยละ ๓.๙๓ และ (๓) ผู้ผลิต/ผู้ส่งออกไทยรายอื่น ในอัตราร้อยละ ๑๗.๐๖ โดย DOC จะประกาศผลการทบทวนขั้นที่สุดภายใน ๑๒๐ วัน นับจากวันประกาศผลการทบทวนประจำปีขึ้นต้น ทั้งนี้ สามารถศึกษาข้อมูลเพิ่มเติมได้ที่เว็บไซต์ <https://thaitr.dft.go.th> หรือ สามารถดาวน์โหลดประกาศดังกล่าวได้ตาม QR Code ที่แนบ



กรมการค้าต่างประเทศ
กองปกป้องและตอบโต้ทางการค้า
โทร ๐๒-๕๔๗-๔๗๓๙
โทรสาร ๐๒-๕๔๗-๔๗๔๑

รับเอกสารแล้ว
ชื่อผู้รับ นม. (นภทศ)
วันที่ 16 ส.ค. 2567 / 10:14 น.
โทรศัพท์

CVD order on Steel Wheels from China.¹ On April 1, 2024, Commerce initiated the first sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² On April 16, 2024, Commerce received timely filed notices of intent to participate from Accuride and Maxion³ (the petitioners) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).⁴ The petitioners claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a producer and importer of the domestic like product.

On May 1, 2024, Commerce received an adequate substantive response to the *Initiation Notice* from the petitioners within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁵ We received no substantive responses from any other interested parties, including the Government of China. On May 22, 2024, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.⁶ As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B)–(C), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*, which made the deadline July 30, 2024. On July 22, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by seven days.⁷ The deadline for these expedited final results of sunset review is now August 6, 2024.

Scope of the Order

The scope of the *Order* covers certain on the road steel wheels, discs, and rims for tubeless tires, with a nominal rim diameter of 22.5 inches and 24.5 inches, regardless of width. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁸

¹ See *Certain Steel Wheels from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 84 FR 24098 (May 24, 2019) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 89 FR 22373 (April 1, 2024) (*Initiation Notice*).

³ Maxion was a petitioner under the corporate name Maxion Wheels Akron LLC. (Maxion ceased U.S. production of 22.5" and 24.5" wheels in 2020 when it closed its Akron plant.)

⁴ See Petitioners' Letter, "Notice of Intent to Participate in the First Five-Year Review," dated April 16, 2024.

⁵ See Petitioners' Letter, "Petitioners' Substantive Response to the Notice of Initiation," dated May 1, 2024.

⁶ See Commerce's Letter, "Sunset Reviews for April 2024," dated May 22, 2024.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings," dated July 22, 2024.

⁸ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Steel Wheels

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum are listed as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidy at the rates listed below.

Exporter/producer	Net subsidy rate (percent)
Xiamen Sunrise Wheel Group Co., Ltd	457.10
Zhejiang Jingu Company Limited	457.10
All-Others	457.10

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice serves as the only reminder to interested parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

from China," dated concurrently with and adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

Dated: August 5, 2024.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 - 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
 - 2. Net Countervailable Subsidy Rate Likely To Prevail
 - 3. Nature of the Subsidies
- VII. Final Results of Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2024-17761 Filed 8-8-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-842]

Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From Thailand: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that passenger vehicle and light truck tires (PVLTT) from Thailand were sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2022, through June 30, 2023. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable August 9, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Myrna Lobo or Jacob Saude, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2371 or (202) 482-0981, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 3, 2023, Commerce published in the *Federal Register* a notice of opportunity¹ to request an

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to*

administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PVLТ from Thailand.² On September 11, 2023, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the *Order*.³ On March 20, 2024, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), Commerce extended the due date for the preliminary results until July 30, 2024.⁴ On July 22, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by seven days.⁵ The deadline for the preliminary results is now August 6, 2024.

For a detailed description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁶ A list of the topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and

Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the *Order* are PVLТ from Thailand. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Act. Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying these

preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rescission of Administrative Review in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. Requests for review were timely withdrawn for the companies identified in Appendix II. Because the requests for review were timely withdrawn and no other parties requested a review of these companies, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce is rescinding the review with respect to these companies.⁷

Preliminary Results of Review

We preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2022, through June 30, 2023:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Prinx Chengshan Tire (Thailand) Co., Ltd	4.95
Sumitomo Rubber (Thailand) Co., Ltd	3.82

Review-Specific Rate for Non-Examined Companies:

Bridgestone Company, Ltd	3.93
Bridgestone Tire Manufacturing (Thailand) Co., Ltd	3.93
S.R. Tyres Co., Ltd	3.93
Thai Bridgestone Co., Ltd	3.93
Vee Tyre & Rubber Co., Ltd	3.93

Rate for Companies Not Individually Examined

The Act and Commerce’s regulations do not address the establishment of a weighted-average dumping margin to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a less-than-fair-value investigation, for guidance when calculating the weighted-average dumping margin for

companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually examined, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent), or determined entirely on the basis of facts available.

Where the dumping margin for individually examined respondents are all zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of

the Act provides that Commerce may use “any reasonable method to establish the estimated all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated, including averaging the estimated weighted average dumping margins determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated.”

Because Commerce preliminarily calculated weighted-average dumping margins for Prinx Chengshan Tire (Thailand) Co., Ltd. (Prinx) and Sumitomo Rubber (Thailand) Co., Ltd. (SRT) that are not zero or *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, we

¹ Request Administrative Review and Join Annual Inquiry Service List, 88 FR 42693 (July 3, 2023).

² See Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination for Thailand, 86 FR 38011 (July 19, 2021) (*Order*).

³ See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 88 FR 62322 (September 11, 2023).

⁴ See Memorandum, “Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Thailand: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023,” dated March 20, 2024.

⁵ See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,” dated July 22, 2024.

⁶ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on

Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Thailand; 2022–2023,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁷ See Omni’s Letter, “Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated December 11, 2023; see also Sentury’s Letter, “Sentury Withdrawal of Request for Antidumping Administrative Review,” dated December 11, 2023; see also Deestone’s Letter, “Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated December 11, 2023.

have preliminarily assigned the companies that were not selecting for individual examination, a weighted-average dumping margin equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for Prinx and SRT, weighted by the mandatory respondents' publicly ranged total sales values, consistent with guidance in section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.⁸

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose its calculations and analysis performed to interested parties for these preliminary results within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Commerce will notify interested parties of the deadline for submission of case briefs.⁹ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.¹⁰ Interested parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding must submit: (1) a table of contents listing each issue; and (2) a table of authorities.¹¹

As provided under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), in prior proceedings we have encouraged interested parties to provide an executive summary of their briefs that should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. In this review, we instead request that interested parties provide at the beginning of their briefs a public, executive summary for each issue raised in their briefs.¹² Further, we request that interested parties limit their public executive summary of each issue to no more than 450 words, not including citations. We intend to use the public executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final results in this administrative review. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant citations in the public executive summary of each issue. Note that

⁸ See Memorandum, "Calculation of the Rate for Non-Examined Companies for the Preliminary Results," dated concurrently with this notice.

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii); see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also *Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 88 FR 67069, 67077 (September 29, 2023) (*APO and Service Procedures*).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹² We use the term "issue" here to describe an argument that Commerce would normally address in a comment of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).¹³

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS.¹⁴ Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants and whether any participant is a foreign national; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in case and rebuttal briefs.¹⁵ If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a time and date to be determined. A hearing request must be filed electronically using ACCESS and received in its entirety by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the publication of this notice.

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

If Prinx or SRT's weighted-average dumping margins are not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, Commerce intends to calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those sales. Where we do not have entered values for all U.S.

sales to a particular importer, we will calculate an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total quantity of those sales.¹⁶ To determine whether an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis*, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we also will calculate an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on estimated entered values. If Prinx or SRT's weighted-average dumping margins are zero or *de minimis* or where an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.¹⁷

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Prinx or SRT for which it did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate in the original less-than-fair-value investigation if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹⁸

For companies for which we are rescinding this administrative review (*see* Appendix II) antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period of review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). For these companies, Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to the

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2); see also *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

¹⁸ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹³ See *APO and Service Procedures*.

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.310.

weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except, if that rate is *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or a prior segment of the proceeding but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 17.06 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.¹⁹ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: August 5, 2024.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Currency Conversion
- VI. Recommendation

Appendix II—Companies Rescinded From Review

1. Deestone Corporation Ltd./Deestone

- Corporation Public Company Limited;
2. General Rubber (Thailand) Co., Ltd.;
3. LLIT (Thailand) Co., Ltd.;
4. Maxxis International (Thailand) Co., Ltd.;
5. Otani Radial Company Limited;
6. Sentury Tire (Thailand) Co., Ltd.;
7. Zhongce Rubber (Thailand) Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2024–17760 Filed 8–8–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

State University of New York at Stony Brook University, et al.; Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before August 29, 2024. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 41006, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Please also email a copy of those comments to Dianne.Hanshaw@trade.gov.

Docket Number: 24–020. Applicant: State University of New York at Stony Brook University, 100 Nicolls Road, Stony Brook, NY 11794–5230. Instrument: Miniature 2-Photon Microscope System. Manufacturer: Nanjing Transcend Vivoscope Bio-Technology Co., Ltd., China. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used for neuroscientists to enable them to study brain activity with unprecedented detail and flexibility. Acquiring a miniature two-photon scope for campus use would significantly advance neuroscience research at Stony Brook. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 20, 2024.

Docket Number: 24–021. Applicant: Washington State University, Procurement and Contract Services, French Administration Building 240, P. O. Box 64120, Pullman, WA 99164–1020.

Instrument: Optical Lens, Polarized Beamsplitter, Broadband Dielectric Mirror, Non-polarizing Beamsplitter, Zero-order half and quarter. Manufacturer: Fuzhou Sunlight Technology, Co., Ltd., People's Republic of China. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study quantum phenomena, such as: Quantum memory, quantum computing, and quantum networking, and to investigate the quantum properties of such neutral atom array. This device could also be used for educational purposes. For graduate students' education (Physics 800 Doctoral Research) and undergraduate students' educations (Physics 499 Special Projects), students can learn how to operate lasers and MOT system to trap neutral atoms and form atom arrays and design optical layout. These tools will be commonly used in most quantum optics labs. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: July 16, 2024.

Docket Number: 24–022. Applicant: Columbia University, Department of Physics, Pupin Hall, 538 W 120 Street, New York, NY 10027. Instrument: Fiber Laser. Manufacturer: PreciLasers, China. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used for the production of an ultracold and trapped gas of Strontium-88 atoms for a Sr₂ molecular clock experiment. This molecular clock will be used to perform precise measurements of molecular vibrational energies, enabling the study of physics beyond the standard model. Learning to use lasers is an important part of the training of graduate students pursuing Ph.D.s—through using the lasers; they learn laser physics and practical aspects of operating and maintaining lasers. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: July 16, 2024.

Docket Number: 24–023. Applicant: University of Colorado JILA Department, 3300 Walnut Street, Unit B, JILA Building, Room S/175, Boulder CO 80301. Instrument: Fiber Laser. Manufacturer: Shanghai Precilasers Technology Co., Ltd., China. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used for the JILA eEDM Generation III experiment designed to explore physics beyond the Standard Model by precisely measuring the electron electric dipole moment (eEDM) using a tabletop setup.

¹⁹ See Order, 86 FR at 38012.